

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,182	YAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) Ronald P. Kananen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59, 60, 63

Prior art documents discussed:

Stewart patent number 4,304,461

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claim 59, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to amend claim 59 as the claim was understood by the examiner in the examiner's reasons for allowance of the prior office action and to therefore overcome the Stewart reference and place the application in condition for allowance. The change to claims 60 and 63 were discussed and agreed upon to provide additional clarity and overcome potential 112 rejections and/or claim objections.